



# © 2007 Thomson South-Western



## 2 FIGURE

How the Phillips Curve Is Related to the Model of Aggregate Demand and Aggregate Supply This figure assumes a price level of 100 for the year 2010 and charts possible outcomes for the year 2011. Panel (a) shows the model of aggregate demand and aggregate supply. If aggregate demand is low, the economy is at point A; output is low (7,500), and the price level is low (102). If aggregate demand is high, the economy is at point B; output is high (8,000), and the price level is high (106). Panel (b) shows the implications for the Phillips curve. Point A, which arises when aggregate demand is low, has high unemployment (7 percent) and low inflation (2 percent). Point B, which arises when aggregate demand is high, has low unemployment (4 percent) and high inflation (6 percent).

(a) The Model of Aggregate Demand and Aggregate Supply

(b) The Phillips Curve





### FIGURE

#### The Long-Run Phillips Curve

According to Friedman and Phelps, there is no trade-off between inflation and unemployment in the long run. Growth in the money supply determines the inflation rate. Regardless of the inflation rate, the unemployment rate gravitates toward its natural rate. As a result, the long-run Phillips curve is vertical.









Panel (a) shows the model of aggregate demand and aggregate supply with a vertical aggregate-supply curve. When expansionary monetary policy shifts the aggregate-demand curve to the right from  $AD_1$  to  $AD_2$ , the equilibrium moves from point A to point B. The price level rises from  $P_1$  to  $P_2$ , while output remains the same. Panel (b) shows the long-run Phillips curve, which is vertical at the natural rate of unemployment. Expansionary monetary policy moves the economy from lower inflation (point A) to higher inflation (point B) without changing the rate of unemployment.



How the Long-Run Phillips Curve Is Related to the Model of Aggregate Demand and **Aggregate Supply** 

© 2007 Thomson South-Western



#### (a) The Model of Aggregate Demand and Aggregate Supply

Let Y<sup>n</sup> be the natural rate of output (and assume it does not change over time).

- ▶ Let *Y<sup>n</sup>* be the natural rate of output (and assume it does not change over time).
- Let  $P_t^e$  be the expected price level in year t.

- Let Y<sup>n</sup> be the natural rate of output (and assume it does not change over time).
- Let  $P_t^e$  be the expected price level in year t.
- ► The short-run aggregate supply curve in year *t*:

- Let Y<sup>n</sup> be the natural rate of output (and assume it does not change over time).
- Let  $P_t^e$  be the expected price level in year t.
- ► The short-run aggregate supply curve in year *t*:

$$Y_t - Y^n = a(P_t - P_t^e),$$

- Let Y<sup>n</sup> be the natural rate of output (and assume it does not change over time).
- Let  $P_t^e$  be the expected price level in year t.
- ► The short-run aggregate supply curve in year *t*:

$$Y_t - Y^n = a(P_t - P_t^e), = a[(P_t - P_{t-1}) - (P_t^e - P_{t-1})]$$

- Let Y<sup>n</sup> be the natural rate of output (and assume it does not change over time).
- Let  $P_t^e$  be the expected price level in year t.
- ▶ The short-run aggregate supply curve in year *t*:

$$Y_t - Y^n = a(P_t - P_t^e), = a[(P_t - P_{t-1}) - (P_t^e - P_{t-1})] = a(\pi_t - \pi_t^e),$$

- Let Y<sup>n</sup> be the natural rate of output (and assume it does not change over time).
- Let  $P_t^e$  be the expected price level in year t.
- The short-run aggregate supply curve in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = a(P_t - P_t^e), = a[(P_t - P_{t-1}) - (P_t^e - P_{t-1})] = a(\pi_t - \pi_t^e),$$

where  $\pi_t$  is inflation in year t and  $\pi_t^e$  is expected inflation in year t.

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = -b(u_t - u^n),$$

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = -b(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $u^n$  is the natural rate of unemployment.

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = -b(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $u^n$  is the natural rate of unemployment.

Putting it all together:

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = -b(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $u^n$  is the natural rate of unemployment.

Putting it all together:

$$\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -\gamma(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $\gamma = b/a$ .

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = -b(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $u^n$  is the natural rate of unemployment.

Putting it all together:

$$\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -\gamma(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $\gamma = b/a$ .

► This is the so-called expectations-augmented Phillips curve.

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = -b(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $u^n$  is the natural rate of unemployment.

Putting it all together:

$$\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -\gamma(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $\gamma = b/a$ .

- ► This is the so-called expectations-augmented Phillips curve.
  - The left-hand side is unanticipated inflation.

In the short run, output in year t varies inversely with the unemployment rate, ut, in year t:

$$Y_t - Y^n = -b(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $u^n$  is the natural rate of unemployment.

Putting it all together:

$$\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -\gamma(u_t - u^n),$$

where  $\gamma = b/a$ .

- ► This is the so-called expectations-augmented Phillips curve.
  - ► The left-hand side is unanticipated inflation.
  - The right-hand side is the deviation of the unemployment rate from its natural rate.

Low and stable inflation:

Low and stable inflation:

 $\pi_t^e \approx 0.$ 

Low and stable inflation:

 $\pi_t^e \approx 0.$ 

This led to a stable tradeoff between inflation and the unemployment rate with the government (via the Federal Reserve) could try to exploit using monetary policy:

Low and stable inflation:

$$\pi_t^e \approx 0.$$

This led to a stable tradeoff between inflation and the unemployment rate with the government (via the Federal Reserve) could try to exploit using monetary policy:

$$\pi_t = -\gamma(u_t - u^n).$$

# **Figure 12.1** The Phillips curve and the U.S. economy during the 1960s





### 5 FIGURE

# How Expected Inflation Shifts the Short-Run Phillips Curve

The higher the expected rate of inflation, the higher the short-run trade-off between inflation and unemployment. At point A, expected inflation and actual inflation are both low, and unemployment is at its natural rate. If the Fed pursues an expansionary monetary policy, the economy moves from point A to point B in the short run. At point B, expected inflation is still low, but actual inflation is high. Unemployment is below its natural rate. In the long run, expected inflation rises, and the economy moves to point C. At point C, expected inflation and actual inflation are both high, and unemployment is back to its natural rate.



► All \*!&@# breaks loose!

# **Figure 12.2** Inflation and unemployment in the United States, 1970–2002



- All \*!&@# breaks loose!
- ► The apparent stable tradeoff vanishes.

- All \*!&@# breaks loose!
- The apparent stable tradeoff vanishes.
- ► Why?

- All \*!&@# breaks loose!
- The apparent stable tradeoff vanishes.
- Why? If the government tries to exploit the tradeoff, actors in the economy figure this out and adjust their expectations about inflation.
Unemployment and Inflation in the 1970's

- All \*!&@# breaks loose!
- The apparent stable tradeoff vanishes.
- Why? If the government tries to exploit the tradeoff, actors in the economy figure this out and adjust their expectations about inflation.
- Lesson: Surprises to the inflation rate can have real effects (on unemployment and output), but predictable or systematic movements in the inflation rate cannot.

In practice, last year's inflation rate serves as a good predictor (or forecast) of this year's inflation rate: π<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> = π<sub>t-1</sub>.

- In practice, last year's inflation rate serves as a good predictor (or forecast) of this year's inflation rate: π<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> = π<sub>t-1</sub>.
- In this case, the Phillips curve becomes:

- In practice, last year's inflation rate serves as a good predictor (or forecast) of this year's inflation rate: π<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> = π<sub>t-1</sub>.
- In this case, the Phillips curve becomes:

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -\gamma (u_t - u^n).$$

- In practice, last year's inflation rate serves as a good predictor (or forecast) of this year's inflation rate: π<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> = π<sub>t-1</sub>.
- In this case, the Phillips curve becomes:

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -\gamma(u_t - u^n).$$

• If  $u_t > u^n$ ,  $\pi_t < \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation decreases).

- In practice, last year's inflation rate serves as a good predictor (or forecast) of this year's inflation rate: π<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> = π<sub>t-1</sub>.
- In this case, the Phillips curve becomes:

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -\gamma(u_t - u^n).$$

- If  $u_t > u^n$ ,  $\pi_t < \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation decreases).
- If  $u_t < u^n$ ,  $\pi_t > \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation increases).

- In practice, last year's inflation rate serves as a good predictor (or forecast) of this year's inflation rate: π<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> = π<sub>t-1</sub>.
- In this case, the Phillips curve becomes:

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -\gamma(u_t - u^n).$$

- If  $u_t > u^n$ ,  $\pi_t < \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation decreases).
- If  $u_t < u^n$ ,  $\pi_t > \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation increases).
- If  $u_t = u^n$ ,  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation does not change).

- In practice, last year's inflation rate serves as a good predictor (or forecast) of this year's inflation rate: π<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> = π<sub>t-1</sub>.
- In this case, the Phillips curve becomes:

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -\gamma(u_t - u^n).$$

- If  $u_t > u^n$ ,  $\pi_t < \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation decreases).
- If  $u_t < u^n$ ,  $\pi_t > \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation increases).
- If  $u_t = u^n$ ,  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1}$  (inflation does not change).
- The natural rate of unemployment (u<sup>n</sup>) is, therefore, sometimes called the non-accelerating inflation rate of unemployment.

## **Figure 12.7** The expectations-augmented Phillips curve in the United States, 1970–2002



# **Figure 12.9** Actual and natural unemployment rates in the United States







### FIGURE 🔾

### The Phillips Curve in the 1960s

This figure uses annual data from 1961 to 1968 on the unemployment rate and on the inflation rate (as measured by the GDP deflator) to show the negative relationship between inflation and unemployment.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor; U.S. Department of Commerce.

#### The Breakdown of the Phillips Curve

This figure shows annual data from 1961 to 1973 on the unemployment rate and on the inflation rate (as measured by the GDP deflator). The Phillips curve of the 1960s breaks down in the early 1970s, just as Friedman and Phelps had predicted. Notice that the points labeled A, B, and C in this figure correspond roughly to the points in Figure 5.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor; U.S. Department of Commerce.





Panel (a) shows the model of aggregate demand and aggregate supply. When the aggregate-supply curve shifts to the left from  $AS_1$  to  $AS_2$ , the equilibrium moves from point A to point B. Output falls from  $Y_1$  to  $Y_2$ , and the price level rises from  $P_1$  to  $P_2$ . Panel (b) shows the short-run trade-off between inflation and unemployment. The adverse shift in aggregate supply moves the economy from a point with lower unemployment and lower inflation (point A) to a point with higher unemployment and higher inflation (point B). The short-run Phillips curve shifts to the right from  $PC_1$  to  $PC_2$ . Policymakers now face a worse tradeoff between inflation and unemployment.



#### An Adverse Shock to Aggregate Supply





### 🦻 FIGURE

#### The Supply Shocks of the 1970s

This figure shows annual data from 1972 to 1981 on the unemployment rate and on the inflation rate (as measured by the GDP deflator). In the periods 1973–1975 and 1978–1981, increases in world oil prices led to higher inflation and higher unemployment.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor; U.S. Department of Commerce.







### Disinflationary Monetary Policy in the Short Run and Long Run

When the Fed pursues contractionary monetary policy to reduce inflation, the economy moves along a short-run Phillips curve from point A to point B. Over time, expected inflation falls, and the short-run Phillips curve shifts downward. When the economy reaches point C, unemployment is back at its natural rate.



#### The Volcker Disinflation

This figure shows annual data from 1979 to 1987 on the unemployment rate and on the inflation rate (as measured by the GDP deflator). The reduction in inflation during this period came at the cost of very high unemployment in 1982 and 1983. Note that the points labeled A, B, and C in this figure correspond roughly to the points in Figure 10.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor; U.S. Department of Commerce.







#### The Greenspan Era

This figure shows annual data from 1984 to 2004 on the unemployment rate and on the inflation rate (as measured by the GDP deflator). During most of this period, Alan Greenspan has been chairman of the Federal Reserve. Fluctuations in inflation and unemployment have been relatively small.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor; U.S. Department of Commerce.

Should the Federal Reserve be allowed to follow discretionary policy unhindered by rules and regulations?

- Should the Federal Reserve be allowed to follow discretionary policy unhindered by rules and regulations?
- For example, the Fed might want to raise inflation unexpectedly (by loosening monetary policy unexpectedly), so as to induce a temporary fall in unemployment.

- Should the Federal Reserve be allowed to follow discretionary policy unhindered by rules and regulations?
- For example, the Fed might want to raise inflation unexpectedly (by loosening monetary policy unexpectedly), so as to induce a temporary fall in unemployment.

• Recall that: 
$$\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -\gamma(u_t - u^n)$$
.

- Should the Federal Reserve be allowed to follow discretionary policy unhindered by rules and regulations?
- For example, the Fed might want to raise inflation unexpectedly (by loosening monetary policy unexpectedly), so as to induce a temporary fall in unemployment.
- ► Recall that:  $\pi_t \pi_t^e = -\gamma(u_t u^n)$ . If  $\pi_t$  increases but  $\pi_t^e$  does not, then  $u_t$  decreases.

- Should the Federal Reserve be allowed to follow discretionary policy unhindered by rules and regulations?
- For example, the Fed might want to raise inflation unexpectedly (by loosening monetary policy unexpectedly), so as to induce a temporary fall in unemployment.
- ► Recall that:  $\pi_t \pi_t^e = -\gamma(u_t u^n)$ . If  $\pi_t$  increases but  $\pi_t^e$  does not, then  $u_t$  decreases.
- That seems like a good thing!

But there is a problem:

But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.

- But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.
- The end result is high inflation, but no change in the unemployment rate!

- But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.
- The end result is high inflation, but no change in the unemployment rate!
- The Fed faces what is known as a time-inconsistency problem:

- But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.
- The end result is high inflation, but no change in the unemployment rate!
- The Fed faces what is known as a time-inconsistency problem: if it announces a target for inflation, it is tempted to deviate from this target to achieve its other goal of keeping unemployment low.

- But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.
- The end result is high inflation, but no change in the unemployment rate!
- The Fed faces what is known as a time-inconsistency problem: if it announces a target for inflation, it is tempted to deviate from this target to achieve its other goal of keeping unemployment low.
- But this generally leads to bad outcomes (high inflation, but no change in unemployment)!

- But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.
- The end result is high inflation, but no change in the unemployment rate!
- The Fed faces what is known as a time-inconsistency problem: if it announces a target for inflation, it is tempted to deviate from this target to achieve its other goal of keeping unemployment low.
- But this generally leads to bad outcomes (high inflation, but no change in unemployment)!
- Possible solution:

- But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.
- The end result is high inflation, but no change in the unemployment rate!
- The Fed faces what is known as a time-inconsistency problem: if it announces a target for inflation, it is tempted to deviate from this target to achieve its other goal of keeping unemployment low.
- But this generally leads to bad outcomes (high inflation, but no change in unemployment)!
- Possible solution: "conservative" central bankers who do not try to surprise people, instead announcing inflation targets and sticking to them at the possible expense of high unemployment rates.

- But there is a problem: if consumers/workers/firms are "savvy", then expected inflation adjusts quickly.
- The end result is high inflation, but no change in the unemployment rate!
- The Fed faces what is known as a time-inconsistency problem: if it announces a target for inflation, it is tempted to deviate from this target to achieve its other goal of keeping unemployment low.
- But this generally leads to bad outcomes (high inflation, but no change in unemployment)!
- Possible solution: "conservative" central bankers who do not try to surprise people, instead announcing inflation targets and sticking to them at the possible expense of high unemployment rates. (The New Zealand central bank was an early pioneer.)

Should the Fed Reduce Inflation to Zero?

### Should the Fed Reduce Inflation to Zero?

▶ The inflation rate in the U.S. has been low for many years.
The inflation rate in the U.S. has been low for many years. Should the Fed try to reduce it further?

- The inflation rate in the U.S. has been low for many years. Should the Fed try to reduce it further?
- Pros: Costs of inflation (shoeleather costs, menu costs, increased variability of relative prices, arbitrary redistributions of wealth associated with dollar-denominated debt) are reduced.

- The inflation rate in the U.S. has been low for many years. Should the Fed try to reduce it further?
- Pros: Costs of inflation (shoeleather costs, menu costs, increased variability of relative prices, arbitrary redistributions of wealth associated with dollar-denominated debt) are reduced.
- But these costs are already low with low and stable inflation.

- The inflation rate in the U.S. has been low for many years. Should the Fed try to reduce it further?
- Pros: Costs of inflation (shoeleather costs, menu costs, increased variability of relative prices, arbitrary redistributions of wealth associated with dollar-denominated debt) are reduced.
- But these costs are already low with low and stable inflation.
- Cons: Reducing inflation usually requires putting the economy through a recession (unless the Fed is very good at credibly manipulating inflation expectations).

- The inflation rate in the U.S. has been low for many years. Should the Fed try to reduce it further?
- Pros: Costs of inflation (shoeleather costs, menu costs, increased variability of relative prices, arbitrary redistributions of wealth associated with dollar-denominated debt) are reduced.
- But these costs are already low with low and stable inflation.
- Cons: Reducing inflation usually requires putting the economy through a recession (unless the Fed is very good at credibly manipulating inflation expectations).
- The sacrifice ratio is the number of percentage points of annual output lost in the process of reducing inflation by one percentage point.

- The inflation rate in the U.S. has been low for many years. Should the Fed try to reduce it further?
- Pros: Costs of inflation (shoeleather costs, menu costs, increased variability of relative prices, arbitrary redistributions of wealth associated with dollar-denominated debt) are reduced.
- But these costs are already low with low and stable inflation.
- Cons: Reducing inflation usually requires putting the economy through a recession (unless the Fed is very good at credibly manipulating inflation expectations).
- The sacrifice ratio is the number of percentage points of annual output lost in the process of reducing inflation by one percentage point.
- A typical estimate of the sacrifice ratio is 5: reducing inflation from 2% to 0% would entail the loss of 10% of output.